arXiv:1512.06226v2 [hep-th] 22 Jan 2016

Ricci–flat spacetimes with l–conformal Galilei symmetry

D. Chernyavsky and A. Galajinsky

Laboratory of Mathematical Physics, Tomsk Polytechnic University,
634050 Tomsk, Lenin Ave. 30, Russian Federation
E-mails: chernyavsky@tpu.ru, galajin@tpu.ru

Abstract

Ricci–flat metrics of the ultrahyperbolic signature which enjoy the l–conformal Galilei symmetry are constructed. They involve the AdS_2–metric in a way similar to the near horizon black hole geometries. The associated geodesic equations are shown to describe a second order dynamical system for which the acceleration generators are functionally independent.

PACS numbers: 02.40.Ky, 11.30.-j, 02.20.Sv

Keywords: conformal Galilei algebra, Ricci–flat metrics

1. Introduction

Motivated by the ongoing studies of the nonrelativistic AdS/CFT–correspondence, dynamical realizations of the l–conformal Galilei/Newton–Hooke algebra [1]–[4] have been attracting increasing interest [5]–[20] [1]. A peculiar feature of the algebra is that along with the generators of time translation, dilatation, special conformal transformation, spatial rotations, spatial translations, and Galilei boosts, it also includes accelerations. All together, there are $2l+1$ vector generators, where l is a (half)integer number. The latter enters the structure relations of the algebra and gives rise to the term "l–conformal" [4].

In spite of the extensive studies in [5]–[20], there are several issues concerning the dynamical realizations constructed so far. Firstly, all examples for which the acceleration generators are functionally independent involve higher derivative terms. In this regard it is worth mentioning that interacting higher derivative systems typically show up instability in classical dynamics and bring about violation of unitarity and/or trouble with ghosts in quantum theory. Secondly, consistent second order systems invariant under the action of the l–conformal Galilei/Newton–Hooke group have been constructed in [12, 13]. However, the acceleration generators prove to be functionally dependent. The first two points raise the question of whether a second order dynamical system exists for which the acceleration generators are functionally independent. Thirdly, applications of the l–conformal Galilei/Newton–Hooke symmetry within the general relativistic context are unknown but for the trivial instance of $l=\frac{1}{2}$ for which the accelerations are absent.

The goal of this work is to dwell on the last two issues. Below we construct Ricci–flat metrics of the ultrahyperbolic signature which enjoy the l–conformal Galilei isometry group. A salient feature of such metrics is that they involve the AdS_2–metric in a way similar to the near horizon black hole geometries (see, e.g., [21] and references therein). Hence, they may find potential applications within the context of the AdS/CFT–correspondence. We also argue that the geodesic equations on such a spacetime provide the first example in the literature of a consistent second order dynamical system which accommodates the l–conformal Galilei symmetry in such a way that the acceleration generators are functionally independent.

The work is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we briefly outline the generic group theoretic construction and build the AdS_2–metric starting from the $so(2,1)$–subalgebra in the l–conformal Galilei algebra. Sect. 3 extends the analysis to the full algebra. In particular, the Maurer–Cartan one–forms, which transform homogeneously under the dilatations, special conformal transformations and rotations, while hold invariant with respect to the remaining group transformations, are constructed. These forms are used in Sect. 4 with the aid to construct novel Ricci–flat metrics with the l–conformal Galilei isometry group. In Sect. 5 we consider the geodesic equations and argue that they describe a second order dynamical system for which the acceleration generators are functionally independent. The concluding

[1] Note that the l-conformal Galilei and Newton–Hooke algebras are isomorphic (see e.g. [18]). However, as far as dynamical realizations are concerned, a linear change of the basis which relates them implies a change of the Hamiltonian which alters the dynamics.

Sect. 6 contains the summary and the discussion of possible further developments.

2. AdS_2–metric from $so(2,1)$

As is well known, the metric of the two–dimensional anti de Sitter spacetime naturally arises if one applies the conventional group theoretic construction to the conformal algebra in one dimension $so(2,1)$. Since in the next sections we will use the same method for building a metric invariant under the action of the l–conformal Galilei group, for which $SO(2,1)$ is a subgroup, below we briefly remind the reader the derivation of the AdS_2–metric from the $so(2,1)$ algebra. For simplicity, throughout the text we set the radius of curvature of AdS_2 to be the unity.

One starts with the structure relations of $so(2,1)$

$$[H,D]=iH, \qquad [H,K]=2iD, \qquad [D,K]=iK, \tag{1}$$

where H, D, and K are the generators of time translations, dilatations, and special conformal transformations, respectively. Then within the corresponding conformal group $G=SO(2,1)$ one chooses the subgroup L generated by D and considers the coset space G/L

$$\tilde{G}=e^{itH}e^{irK}\times L, \tag{2}$$

which is parametrized by two real coordinates t and r.

Left multiplication by the group element $g=e^{iaH}e^{ibK}e^{icD}$ determines the action of the group on the coset

$$t'=t+a+bt^2+ct, \qquad r'=r+b(1-2tr)-cr, \tag{3}$$

where the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula

$$e^{iA}\ T\ e^{-iA}=T+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{i^n}{n!}\underbrace{[A,[A,\ldots[A,T]\ldots]}_{n\ \text{times}} \tag{4}$$

has been used.

The Maurer–Cartan one–forms $\tilde{G}^{-1}d\tilde{G}=i(\omega_H H+\omega_K K+\omega_D D)$, where

$$\omega_H=dt, \quad \omega_K=r^2dt+dr, \quad \omega_D=-2rdt, \tag{5}$$

are the building blocks for constructing a metric invariant under the action of $SO(2,1)$. Considering a generic quadratic form constructed out of ω_H, ω_K, ω_D, and demanding that it be invariant under the conformal transformations (3), one gets (up to an irrelevant constant factor)

$$ds^2=\omega_H\omega_K=(r^2dt^2+dtdr). \tag{6}$$

The redefinition of the temporal coordinate

$$t=\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{t}+\frac{1}{r}\right) \tag{7}$$

brings (6) to the conventional AdS_2–metric written in the Poincaré coordinates

$$ds^2 = r^2 d\tilde{t}^2 - \frac{dr^2}{r^2}, \tag{8}$$

where the overall factor $\frac{1}{4}$ has been discarded. The transformation (7) keeps the form of the time translation and dilatation intact

$$\tilde{t}' = \tilde{t} + a + c\tilde{t}, \qquad r' = r - cr, \tag{9}$$

while the special conformal transformation now reads

$$\tilde{t}' = \tilde{t} + \frac{b}{2}\left(\tilde{t}^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}\right), \qquad r' = r - br\tilde{t}. \tag{10}$$

Note that from the very beginning one could consider the three–dimensional group manifold $\tilde{G} = e^{itH}e^{irK}e^{iuD}$ and construct a metric invariant under the action of the $SO(2,1)$–group out of the left–invariant Maurer–Cartan one–forms. It is straightforward to verify that it does not solve the vacuum Einstein equations, which forces one to choose the lower dimensional coset space as described above.

3. Maurer–Cartan one–forms for the l–conformal Galilei algebra

A peculiar feature of the l–conformal Galilei algebra is that along with the generators of time translation H, dilatation D, special conformal transformation K, spatial rotations M_{ij} (with $i = 1, \ldots, d$), spatial translations $C_i^{(0)}$, and Galilei boosts $C_i^{(1)}$ it also includes the generators of accelerations $C_i^{(\alpha)}$, where $\alpha = 2, \ldots, 2l$ and l is a (half)integer number. Combining the vector generators into a single object $C_i^{(n)}$, where $n = 0, \ldots, 2l$, one gets the structure relations of the algebra [4] which involve (1) along with

$$[H, C_i^{(n)}] = inC_i^{(n-1)}, \qquad [D, C_i^{(n)}] = i(n-l)C_i^{(n)}, \qquad [K, C_i^{(n)}] = i(n-2l)C_i^{(n+1)}, \tag{11}$$
$$[M_{ij}, C_k^{(n)}] = -i(\delta_{ik}C_j^{(n)} - \delta_{jk}C_i^{(n)}), \ [M_{ij}, M_{kl}] = -i(\delta_{ik}M_{jl} + \delta_{jl}M_{ik} - \delta_{il}M_{jk} - \delta_{jk}M_{il}).$$

In order to construct a metric invariant under the action of the l–conformal Galilei group, we choose a subgroup L generated by D and M_{ij} and consider the coset space

$$\tilde{G} = e^{itH}e^{irK}e^{ix_i^{(n)}C_i^{(n)}} \times L \tag{12}$$

parametrized by the coordinates t, r and $x_i^{(n)}$. As usual, summation over repeated indices is understood unless otherwise is explicitly stated. Left multiplication by the group element $g = e^{iaH}e^{ibK}e^{icD}e^{i\lambda_i^{(n)}C_i^{(n)}}e^{\frac{i}{2}\omega_{ij}M_{ij}}$ determines the action of the group on the coset

$$\begin{aligned} t' &= t + a + bt^2 + ct, \qquad r' = r + b(1 - 2tr) - cr, \\ x_i'^{(n)} &= x_i^{(n)} - 2bt(n-l)x_i^{(n)} - c(n-l)x_i^{(n)} - \sigma_{ij}x_j^{(n)} + \\ &+ \sum_{s=0}^{n}\sum_{m=s}^{2l} \frac{(-1)^{n-s}m!(2l-s)!}{s!(m-s)!(n-s)!(2l-n)!} t^{m-s}r^{n-s}\lambda_i^{(m)}, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $a, b, c, \lambda_i^{(n)}$ and $\sigma_{ij} = -\sigma_{ji}$ are infinitesimal parameters corresponding to the time translations, special conformal transformations, dilatations, vector generators in the algebra, and spatial rotations, respectively.

The Maurer-Cartan one–forms $\tilde{G}^{-1}d\tilde{G} = i(\omega_H H + \omega_K K + \omega_D D + \omega_i^{(n)} C_i^{(n)})$

$$\omega_i^{(n)} = dx_i^{(n)} + 2r(n-l)x_i^{(n)}dt - (n+1)x_i^{(n+1)}dt - (n-2l-1)x_i^{(n-1)}(r^2dt + dr),$$
$$\omega_H = dt, \qquad \omega_K = r^2dt + dr, \qquad \omega_D = -2rdt, \tag{14}$$

where we have set

$$x_i^{(-1)} = x_i^{(2l+1)} = 0 \tag{15}$$

provide the building blocks for constructing a metric with the l–conformal Galilei isometry group. Bearing in mind potential applications within the context of the AdS/CFT–correspondence, we choose to switch to the new temporal coordinate (7) which brings the metric (6) to the conventional AdS_2 form and changes $\omega_i^{(n)}$ as follows:

$$\tilde{\omega}_i^{(n)} = dx_i^{(n)} + \left(r(n-l)x_i^{(n)} - \frac{1}{2}(n+1)x_i^{(n+1)}\right)\left(d\tilde{t} - \frac{dr}{r^2}\right) -$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}(n-2l-1)x_i^{(n-1)}r^2\left(d\tilde{t} + \frac{dr}{r^2}\right). \tag{16}$$

4. Ricci–flat metrics with the l–conformal Galilei isometry group

Taking into account the fact that $\tilde{\omega}_i^{(n)}$ are invariant under the time translation and $\lambda_i^{(n)}$–transformations, while with respect to the dilatations, special conformal transformations and rotations they transform homogeneously

$$\tilde{\omega}_i'^{(n)} = (1 - c(n-l))\tilde{\omega}_i^{(n)}, \qquad \tilde{\omega}_i'^{(n)} = \left(1 - b(n-l)\left(\tilde{t} + \frac{1}{r}\right)\right)\tilde{\omega}_i^{(n)},$$
$$\tilde{\omega}_i'^{(n)} = (\delta_{ij} - \sigma_{ij})\tilde{\omega}_j^{(n)} \tag{17}$$

one can immediately construct a metric which holds invariant under the action of the l–conformal Galilei group

$$ds^2 = \left(r^2d\tilde{t}^2 - \frac{dr^2}{r^2}\right) + S_{n,m}\tilde{\omega}_i^{(n)}\tilde{\omega}_i^{(m)}, \tag{18}$$

where $S_{n,m}$ is an off–diagonal symmetric matrix (no sum with respect to the index n on the right hand side)

$$S_{n,m} = S_{m,n} = k_n\delta_{n+m,2l}, \tag{19}$$

which involves constant entries k_n to be fixed below. Note that for the case of $d = 1$, $l = 1$ conformal Galilei algebra similar metrics have been studied in [22].

A straightforward computation shows that (18) is not Ricci–flat. In order to promote it to a solution of the vacuum Einstein equations, let us minimally extend the metric by an extra coordinate y

$$ds^2 = \alpha(y)\left(r^2 d\tilde{t}^2 - \frac{dr^2}{r^2}\right) + S_{n,m}\tilde{\omega}_i^{(n)}\tilde{\omega}_i^{(m)} + \epsilon dy^2, \tag{20}$$

where $\alpha(y)$ is a function to be fixed below and $\epsilon = \pm 1$. It is assumed that y remains intact under the l–conformal Galilei transformations so that the metric maintains the symmetry of its predecessor (18). One could promote k_n in (19) to become real functions $k_n(y)$ as well. However, when solving the Einstein equations, these lead to a nonlinear system of ordinary differential equations which, unfortunately, we fail to solve. Note that an arbitrary function of y in front of dy^2 can always be eliminated by redefining y, leaving one with the sign choice arbitrariness expressed by $\epsilon = \pm 1$.

The analysis of the Einstein equations is greatly facilitated if one introduces the notation

$$\tilde{\omega}_i^{(n)} = dx_i^{(n)} + a_i^{(n)} d\tilde{t} + b_i^{(n)} dr, \tag{21}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_i^{(n)} &= r(n-l)x_i^{(n)} - \frac{1}{2}(n+1)x_i^{(n+1)} - \frac{1}{2}r^2(n-2l-1)x_i^{(n-1)}, \\ b_i^{(n)} &= -\frac{1}{r}(n-l)x_i^{(n)} + \frac{1}{2r^2}(n+1)x_i^{(n+1)} - \frac{1}{2}(n-2l-1)x_i^{(n-1)}, \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

and rewrites the metric, its inverse, and the Christoffel symbols in terms of the vectors $a_i^{(n)}$ and $b_i^{(n)}$. From the equation $R_{yy} = 0$ one gets

$$\left(\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}\right)' + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}\right)^2 = 0, \tag{23}$$

which implies that $\alpha(y)$ is a quadratic function of its argument

$$\alpha(y) = c_1(y + c_2)^2, \tag{24}$$

where c_1 and c_2 are arbitrary constants. The latter can always be eliminated by the redefinition $y \to y + c_2$. In what follows we will disregard it.

The components of the Ricci tensor R_{ty}, R_{ry}, R_{xy} prove to vanish identically, provided one takes into account that $a_i^{(n)}$ and $b_i^{(n)}$ in (22) are divergence free

$$\frac{\partial a_i^{(n)}}{\partial x_i^{(n)}} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial b_i^{(n)}}{\partial x_i^{(n)}} = 0. \tag{25}$$

The equation $R_{xx} = 0$ yields the conditions which allow one to fix the components of the matrix $S_{n,m}$

$$\begin{aligned} &n^2 S_{n-1,2l-n+1} + (2l-n)^2 S_{n+1,2l-n-1} \\ &- \left[(n+1)^2 \tilde{S}^{n+1,2l-n-1} + (n-2l-1)^2 \tilde{S}^{n-1,2l-n+1}\right](S_{n,2l-n})^2 = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where $n = 0, \ldots, 2l$. In Eq. (26) $\tilde{S}^{n,m} = \tilde{S}^{m,n} = \frac{1}{S_{n,m}} = \frac{1}{k_n}\delta_{n+m,2l}$ (no sum in n) stands for the inverse of $S_{n,m}$ and it is assumed that

$$S_{2l+1,n} = S_{-1,n} = \tilde{S}^{2l+1,n} = \tilde{S}^{-1,n} = 0. \tag{27}$$

It is straightforward to verify that Eqs. (26) algebraically relate all the components $S_{n,m}$ to $S_{0,2l}$, the latter being unspecified. For the absolute values one reveals the recurrence

$$|S_{n+1,2l-n-1}| = \frac{n+1}{2l-n}|S_{n,2l-n}| \tag{28}$$

with $n = 0, \ldots, 2l-1$. Because the flip of sign is admissible, the total number of options grows with l. Some examples are given below.

In a similar fashion $R_{tt} = 0$ links the constant $c_1 = \frac{1}{2}\alpha''$ in (24) to the parameters d, l, ϵ and the matrix elements $S_{p,q}$, $\tilde{S}^{p,q}$

$$c_1 = \epsilon + \frac{l(l+1)(2l+1)d\epsilon}{6} - \frac{d\epsilon}{4}\sum_{p=0}^{2l-1}\sum_{q=1}^{2l}(p+1)(q-2l-1)\tilde{S}^{p+1,q-1}S_{p,q}. \tag{29}$$

All other components of the Ricci tensor prove to vanish provided (26) and (29) hold.

Given d and l, the equations (26) and (29) are readily solved. In particular, for $l = \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2$ one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
&l = \frac{1}{2}; && && c_1 = \epsilon\left(1 + \frac{d}{2}\right);\\
&l = 1; && S_{1,1} = \frac{1}{2}S_{0,2} && c_1 = \epsilon\,(1+2d)\\
& && S_{1,1} = -\frac{1}{2}S_{0,2} && c_1 = \epsilon;\\
&l = \frac{3}{2}; && S_{1,2} = \frac{1}{3}S_{0,3} && c_1 = \epsilon\,(1+5d)\\
& && S_{1,2} = -\frac{1}{3}S_{0,3} && c_1 = \epsilon\,(1+2d)\,;\\
&l = 2; && S_{2,2} = \frac{1}{6}S_{0,4}, && S_{1,3} = \frac{1}{4}S_{0,4} && c_1 = \epsilon\,(1+10d)\\
& && S_{2,2} = -\frac{1}{6}S_{0,4}, && S_{1,3} = \frac{1}{4}S_{0,4} && c_1 = \epsilon\,(1+4d)\\
& && S_{2,2} = -\frac{1}{6}S_{0,4}, && S_{1,3} = -\frac{1}{4}S_{0,4} && c_1 = \epsilon\,(1+6d)\\
& && S_{2,2} = \frac{1}{6}S_{0,4}, && S_{1,3} = -\frac{1}{4}S_{0,4} && c_1 = \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

It is to be remembered that in each case $S_{0,2l}$ remains unspecified.

Having fixed $S_{n,m}$, let us comment on the signature of a spacetime endowed with the metric (20). Given the spatial dimension d in which the original l–conformal Galilei algebra (11) is realized and the value of the (half)integer parameter l, the AdS_2–spacetime in (20) is extended by $(2l+1)d$ extra dimensions parametrized by the coordinates $x_i^{(0)}, \ldots, x_i^{(2l)}$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$. For half–integer l, these are split into $\frac{(2l+1)d}{2}$ spatial and $\frac{(2l+1)d}{2}$ temporal dimensions, while for integer l one reveals ld temporal and $(l+1)d$ spatial dimensions or vice versa depending on which sign is chosen for the components $S_{n,m}$ linked to $S_{0,2l}$. Depending on whether $\epsilon = 1$ or $\epsilon = -1$ is chosen in the last term in (20), the remaining coordinate y brings about one more temporal or spatial dimension. Assuming that y has the dimension of length, the coordinates r, t and the parameter $S_{0,2l}$ should be considered dimensionless[2], while $x_i^{(n)}$ must have the dimension of length.

To summarize, (20) describes a $[(2l+1)d+3]$–dimensional Ricci–flat spacetime of the ultrahyperbolic signature which is characterized by one free parameter $S_{0,2l}$.

5. Geodesics as the dynamical realizations of the l–conformal Galilei group

The metrics constructed in the previous section allow one to immediately obtain novel dynamical realizations of the l–conformal Galilei group. It suffices to consider the geodesic equations

$$\frac{d^2Z^A}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma^A_{BC}(Z)\frac{dZ^B}{d\tau}\frac{dZ^C}{d\tau} = 0, \tag{30}$$

where $Z^A = (t, r, x_i^{(0)}, \ldots, x_i^{(2l)}, y)$, with $i = 1, \ldots, d$, and τ is the proper time. As was mentioned in the Introduction, apart from the oscillator–like models for which the acceleration generators are functionally dependent [12, 13], all other dynamical realizations known in the literature prove to involve higher derivative terms. That (30) is a genuine second order system is argued by appealing to the Riemann coordinates. As is well known (see, e.g. [23]), in the normal neighborhood of a given point Z_0^A on a manifold one can introduce a change of the coordinate system $Z^A \rightarrow Y^A(Z)$ such that $\Gamma'^A_{BC}(Y_0) = 0$, where $Y_0^A = Y^A(Z_0)$, and the geodesic passing through Y_0^A is the straight line

$$\frac{d^2Y^A}{d\tau^2} = 0, \tag{31}$$

which, obviously, is a second order system.

Another way of arguing is to put the system (30) into the conventional Hamiltonian form. Introducing momenta P_A canonically conjugate to the configuration space variables Z^A, the standard Poisson bracket $\{Z^A, P_B\} = \delta^A{}_B$, and the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}g^{AB}(Z)P_AP_B, \tag{32}$$

[2]The dimensionless coordinates r and t are linked to (r', t') parametrizing AdS_2 in the obvious way $r = \frac{r'}{R}$, $ct = \frac{ct'}{R}$, where R is the radius of curvature of AdS_2 and c is the speed of light which throughout the text we set to be the unity.

where $g^{AB}(Z)$ is the inverse metric, one can readily check that Hamilton's equations of motion following from (32) are equivalent to (30). The fact that (32) does not involve unconventional kinetic terms linear in momenta for some of the degrees of freedom implies that it is not of Ostrogradsky's type.

Thus, Eqs. (30) represent a second order dynamical system which holds invariant under the action of the l–conformal Galilei group. Its integrals of motion can be constructed from the Killing vector fields $\xi^A(Z)\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A}$ associated with the metric (20) in the usual way: $\xi^A(Z)g_{AB}(Z)\frac{dZ^B}{d\tau}$. The explicit form of $\xi^A(Z)$ is readily derived from Eq. (13) by taking into account the redefinition of the temporal coordinate (7).

As an example, let us consider the Killing vector fields for the case of $l = 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&H = \frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{t}}, \qquad D = \tilde{t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{t}} - r\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + x_i^{(0)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^{(0)}} - x_i^{(2)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^{(2)}},\\
&K = \frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{t}^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{t}} - r\tilde{t}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \left(\tilde{t} + \frac{1}{r}\right)x_i^{(0)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^{(0)}} - \left(\tilde{t} + \frac{1}{r}\right)x_i^{(2)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^{(2)}},\\
&C_i^{(0)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^{(0)}} - 2r\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^{(1)}} + r^2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^{(2)}},\\
&C_i^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{t} + \frac{1}{r}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^{(0)}} - r\tilde{t}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^{(1)}} + \frac{r^2}{2}\left(\tilde{t} - \frac{1}{r}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^{(2)}},\\
&C_i^{(2)} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\tilde{t} + \frac{1}{r}\right)^2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^{(0)}} + \frac{r}{2}\left(\frac{1}{r^2} - \tilde{t}^2\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^{(1)}} + \frac{r^2}{4}\left(\tilde{t} - \frac{1}{r}\right)^2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^{(2)}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{33}
$$

It is straightforward to verify that the corresponding integrals of motion are functionally independent. As usual, it suffices to consider the gradients of the first integrals and to verify that they are linearly independent. Higher values of l are treated likewise.

Thus, the geodesic equations constructed with the aid of the metric (20) provide the first example of a second order dynamical system for which the acceleration generators are functionally independent. As compared to the formulations previously studied in the literature, a specific novel feature is that to each acceleration generator $C_i^{(n)}$ from the l–conformal Galilei algebra there correspond extra spacetime dimensions parametrized by the coordinates $x_i^{(n)}$. To put it in other words, the dimension of spacetime, in which the geodesic equations are formulated, grows with l. This allows one to accommodate the full l–conformal Galilei symmetry in the geodesic equations thus avoiding the unpleasant features like the presence of higher derivative terms or the functional dependence of generators of symmetry transformations.

6. Conclusion

To summarize, in this work we applied the method of nonlinear realizations so as to construct novel solutions to the vacuum Einstein equations which enjoy the l–conformal Galilei isometry group. Given the values of the parameter l and the spatial dimension d, in which the

original l–conformal Galilei algebra is realized, the method yields a $[(2l+1)d+3]$–dimensional spacetime of the ultrahyperbolic signature. Geodesic equations defined on such a spacetime automatically inherit symmetries of the background metric and thus describe a second order dynamical system for which the acceleration generators are functionally independent.

Turning to possible further developments, the most interesting issue is to study whether the metrics constructed in this work can be used within the context of the "Near horizon black hole/CFT" correspondence (for a review see, e.g., [24]). While their structure very much resembles the near horizon black hole geometries, the signature is ultrahyperbolic so some subtleties may occur. It is also worth studying whether the metrics in this work can be obtained as the near horizon limit of more general solutions of the vacuum Einstein equations which enjoy the acceleration enlarged Galilei symmetry but does not possess the $so(2,1)$ conformal invariance. As the number of the first integrals of the geodesics equations proves to be sufficient to ensure integrability, it would be interesting to explicitly integrate the equations of motion. Finally, it is worth studying whether other parametrizations of the coset space may yield solutions to the vacuum Einstein equations.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Dmitry Zimin and the Dynasty Foundation for the invaluable support of the fundamental science and education in Russia in the period from 2002 till 2015. This work was supported by the MSE program Nauka under the project 3.825.2014/K and the RFBR grant 15-52-05022.

References

[1] A.O. Barut, *Conformal group → Schrödinger group → dynamical group — the maximal kinematical group of the massive Schrödinger particle*, Helv. Phys. Acta **46** (1973) 496.

[2] P. Havas, J. Plebanski, *Conformal extensions of the Galilei group and their relation to the Schrödinger group*, J. Math. Phys. **19** (1978) 482.

[3] M. Henkel, *Local scale invariance and strongly anisotropic equilibrium critical systems*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78** (1997) 1940, cond-mat/9610174.

[4] J. Negro, M.A. del Olmo, A. Rodriguez-Marco, *Nonrelativistic conformal groups* , J. Math. Phys. **38** (1997) 3786.

[5] P.C. Stichel, W.J. Zakrzewski, *A new type of conformal dynamics*, Annals Phys. **310** (2004) 158, hep-th/0309038.

[6] J. Lukierski, P.C. Stichel, W.J. Zakrzewski, *Acceleration–extended Galilean symmetries with central charges and their dynamical realizations*, Phys. Lett. B **650** (2007) 203, hep-th/0702179.

[7] C. Duval, P.A. Horváthy, *Non-relativistic conformal symmetries and Newton-Cartan structures*, J. Phys. A **42** (2009) 465206, arXiv:0904.0531.

[8] S. Fedoruk, E. Ivanov, J. Lukierski, *Galilean conformal mechanics from nonlinear realizations*, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 085013, arXiv:1101.1658.

[9] C. Duval, P. Horváthy, *Conformal Galilei groups, Veronese curves, and Newton–Hooke spacetimes*, J. Phys. A **44** (2011) 335203, arXiv:1104.1502.

[10] A. Galajinsky, I. Masterov, *Remarks on l-conformal extension of the Newton–Hooke algebra*, Phys. Lett. B **702** (2011), 265, arXiv:1104.5115.

[11] J. Gomis, K. Kamimura, *Schrodinger equations for higher order non–relativistic particles and N–Galilean conformal symmetry*, Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 045023, arXiv:1109.3773.

[12] A. Galajinsky, I. Masterov, *Dynamical realization of l–conformal Galilei algebra and oscillators*, Nucl. Phys. B **866** (2013) 212, arXiv:1208.1403.

[13] A. Galajinsky, I. Masterov, *Dynamical realizations of l–conformal Newton–Hooke group*, Phys. Lett. B **723** (2013) 190, arXiv:1303.3419.

[14] K. Andrzejewski, J. Gonera, *Dynamical interpretation of nonrelativistic conformal groups*, Phys. Lett. B **721** (2013) 319.

[15] K. Andrzejewski, J. Gonera, P. Kosinski, P. Maslanka, *On dynamical realizations of l–conformal Galilei groups*, Nucl. Phys. B **876** (2013) 309, arXiv:1305.6805.

[16] K. Andrzejewski, A. Galajinsky, J. Gonera, I. Masterov, *Conformal Newton–Hooke symmetry of Pais–Uhlenbeck oscillator*, Nucl. Phys. B **885** (2014) 150, arXiv:1402.1297.

[17] K. Andrzejewski, *Conformal Newton-Hooke algebras, Niederer's transformation and Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator*, Phys. Lett. B **738** (2014) 405, arXiv:1409.3926.

[18] A. Galajinsky, I. Masterov, *On dynamical realizations of l–conformal Galilei and Newton-Hooke algebras*, Nucl. Phys. B **896** (2015) 244, arXiv:1503.08633.

[19] I. Masterov, *An alternative Hamiltonian formulation for the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator*, Nucl. Phys. B **902** (2016) 95, arXiv:1505.02583.

[20] K. Andrzejewski, *Generalized Niederer's transformation for quantum Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator*, Nucl. Phys. B **901** (2015) 216, arXiv:1506.04909.

[21] A. Galajinsky, *Near horizon black holes in diverse dimensions and integrable models*, Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) 024023, arXiv:1209.5034.

[22] A. Bagchi, A. Kundu, *Metrics with Galilean conformal isometry*, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 066018, arXiv:1011.4999.

[23] L. Eisenhart, *Riemannian geometry*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1926.

[24] G. Compére, *The Kerr/CFT correspondence and its extensions: a comprehensive review*, Living Rev. Rel. **15** (2012) 11, arXiv:1203.3561.